



2172  
#3/a  
KWS  
3-13-03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

Murthi Nanja

§ Art Unit: 2172

Serial No.: 09/778,565

§ Examiner: Isaac M. Woo

Filed: February 7, 2001

§ Docket: ITL.0521US  
P10765

For: Aggregating Web Data on Clients  
and Distributing the Aggregated Data  
to Wireless Handheld Devices

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED  
MAR 12 2003  
Technology Center 2100

REPLY TO PAPER NO. 2

Sir:

In response to the office action mailed February 13, 2003, please amend the above-referenced patent application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS


~~Seller B!~~  
Please amend claim 1 as follows:

1 (Amended) A method comprising:

aggregating information from two or more web sites; and  
providing said information to a wireless device.

REMARKS

Claim 1, for example, was rejected under Section 103 based on the single reference to Khan. It is difficult to imagine a situation where a single reference 103 rejection would be sufficient. In order to make out a *prima facie* rejection, it must be shown that a combination of prior art references teaches all the claimed elements. Alternatively, one reference may be modified in view of some teaching of the prior art to meet all of the claimed elements.

Date of Deposit: <u>March 4, 2003</u>
I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as <b>first class mail</b> with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington DC 20231.

Cynthia L. Hayden